

Habitat distribution of *Adansonia digitata* inferred from ensemble modelling with *BiodiversityR*

Roeland Kindt¹, Lars Graudal^{1,2}, Jens-Peter B Lillesø², Abraham Abiyu¹, Soren Moestrup^{1,2}, Paulo van Breugel³

¹ World Agroforestry Centre, Tree Productivity and Diversity

² University of Copenhagen, Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management (IGN)

³ HAS University of Applied Sciences, 's-Hertogenbosch




Figure 1. Projected presence distribution of the species in Ethiopia. Administrative boundaries from www.gadm.org version 3.6.

Figure 2. Administrative boundaries for Ethiopia from www.gadm.org version 3.6.






Figure 3. Distribution of potential natural vegetation types in Ethiopia from www.vegetationmap4africa.org



Species distribution modelling is currently underway for 150+ priority species selected by the PATSPO project, using similar methods of model calibrations as used for <http://www.worldagroforestry.org/atlas-central-america>. However, more sophisticated methods such as spatial folding, spatial and environmental thinning are employed to reduce sampling bias and the effects of spatial auto-correlation. For native species, presence observations from across the African continent were gathered.

Here provisional results are shown, calibrated with presence observations that are available online. In a later phase, additional presence observations will be included in model calibrations and evaluations. These will be provided by experienced botanists that will also verify the distribution maps.

Figure 1 was created by combining information from the www.vegetationmap4africa.org with the results of habitat distribution mapping. Where a section of the projected range of the species overlapped with its expected distribution in vegetation types, the section was given the same colouring as the vegetation map. Sections that did not match documented vegetation types were plotted in the grey33 R colour.



presence
species
administrative
version 2.2
)






Figure 1. Projected presence distribution of the species in Ethiopia. Administrative boundaries from www.gadm.org version 3.6.



Species distribution modelling is currently underway for 150+ priority species selected by the PATSPO project, using similar methods of model calibrations as used for <http://www.worldagroforestry.org/atlas-central-america>. However, more sophisticated methods such as spatial folding, spatial and environmental thinning are employed to reduce sampling bias and the effects of spatial auto-correlation. For native species, presence observations from across the African continent were gathered.

Here provisional results are shown, calibrated with presence observations that are available online. In a later phase, additional presence observations will be included in model calibrations and evaluations. These will be provided by experienced botanists that will also verify the distribution maps.

Figure 1 was created by combining information from the www.vegetationmap4africa.org with the results of habitat distribution mapping. Where a section of the projected range of the species overlapped with its expected distribution in vegetation types, the section was given the same colouring as the vegetation map. Sections that did not match documented vegetation types were plotted in the grey33 R colour.




Figure 4. Projected presence distribution of the species across Africa. Administrative boundaries *tmap* version 2.2 (Tennekes 2018).

Habitat distribution of *Balanites aegyptiaca* inferred from ensemble modelling with *BiodiversityR*

Roeland Kindt¹, Lars Graudal^{1,2}, Jens-Peter B Lillesø², Abraham Abiyu¹, Soren Moestrup^{1,2}, Paulo van Breugel³

¹ World Agroforestry Centre, Tree Productivity and Diversity

² University of Copenhagen, Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management (IGN)

³ HAS University of Applied Sciences, 's-Hertogenbosch




Figure 1. Projected presence distribution of the species in Ethiopia. Administrative boundaries from www.gadm.org version 3.6.




Figure 2. Administrative boundaries for Ethiopia from www.gadm.org version 3.6.




Figure 3. Distribution of potential natural vegetation types in Ethiopia from www.vegetationmap4africa.org

Species distribution modelling is currently underway for 150+ priority species selected by the PATSPO project, using similar methods of model calibrations as used for <http://www.worldagroforestry.org/atlas-central-america>. However, more sophisticated methods such as spatial folding, spatial and environmental thinning are employed to reduce sampling bias and the effects of spatial auto-correlation. For native species, presence observations from across the African continent were gathered.

Here provisional results are shown, calibrated with presence observations that are available online. In a later phase, additional presence observations will be included in model calibrations and evaluations. These will be provided by experienced botanists that will also verify the distribution maps.

Figure 1 was created by combining information from the www.vegetationmap4africa.org with the results of habitat distribution mapping. Where a section of the projected range of the species overlapped with its expected distribution in vegetation types, the section was given the same colouring as the vegetation map. Sections that did not match documented vegetation types were plotted in the grey33 R colour.




Figure 4. Projected presence distribution of the species across Africa. Administrative boundaries *tmap* version 2.2 (Tennekes 2018).




Figure 1. Projected presence distribution of the species in Ethiopia. Administrative boundaries from www.gadm.org version 3.6.




Figure 2. Administrative boundaries for Ethiopia from www.gadm.org version 3.6.




Figure 3. Distribution of potential natural vegetation types in Ethiopia from www.vegetationmap4africa.org

Species distribution modelling is currently underway for 150+ priority species selected by the PATSPO project, using similar methods of model calibrations as used for <http://www.worldagroforestry.org/atlas-central-america>. However, more sophisticated methods such as spatial folding, spatial and environmental thinning are employed to reduce sampling bias and the effects of spatial auto-correlation. For native species, presence observations from across the African continent were gathered.

Here provisional results are shown, calibrated with presence observations that are available online. In a later phase, additional presence observations will be included in model calibrations and evaluations. These will be provided by experienced botanists that will also verify the distribution maps.

Figure 1 was created by combining information from the www.vegetationmap4africa.org with the results of habitat distribution mapping. Where a section of the projected range of the species overlapped with its expected distribution in vegetation types, the section was given the same colouring as the vegetation map. Sections that did not match documented vegetation types were plotted in the grey33 R colour.





Figure 4. Projected presence distribution of the species across Africa. Administrative boundaries *tmap* version 2.2 (Tennekes 2018).



Faidherbia albida
 Bdd
 Fbj-Fbu-Bet-xg-xwd
 P
 We
 xfr
 xfs
 xwd

Figure 1. Projected presence distribution of the species in Ethiopia. Administrative boundaries from www.gadm.org version 3.6.



Figure 2. Administrative boundaries for Ethiopia from www.gadm.org version 3.6.




Figure 3. Distribution of potential natural vegetation types in Ethiopia from www.vegetationmap4africa.org

Species distribution modelling is currently underway for 150+ priority species selected by the PATSPO project, using similar methods of model calibrations as used for <http://www.worldagroforestry.org/atlas-central-america>. However, more sophisticated methods such as spatial folding, spatial and environmental thinning are employed to reduce sampling bias and the effects of spatial auto-correlation. For native species, presence observations from across the African continent were gathered.

Here provisional results are shown, calibrated with presence observations that are available online. In a later phase, additional presence observations will be included in model calibrations and evaluations. These will be provided by experienced botanists that will also verify the distribution maps.

Figure 1 was created by combining information from the www.vegetationmap4africa.org with the results of habitat distribution mapping. Where a section of the projected range of the species overlapped with its expected distribution in vegetation types, the section was given the same colouring as the vegetation map. Sections that did not match documented vegetation types were plotted in the grey33 R colour.




Figure 4. Projected presence distribution of the species across Africa. Administrative boundaries *tmap* version 2.2 (Tennekes 2018).




Figure 1. Projected presence distribution of the species in Ethiopia. Administrative boundaries from www.gadm.org version 3.6.



Figure 2. Administrative boundaries for Ethiopia from www.gadm.org version 3.6.




Figure 3. Distribution of potential natural vegetation types in Ethiopia from www.vegetationmap4africa.org

Species distribution modelling is currently underway for 150+ priority species selected by the PATSPO project, using similar methods of model calibrations as used for <http://www.worldagroforestry.org/atlas-central-america>. However, more sophisticated methods such as spatial folding, spatial and environmental thinning are employed to reduce sampling bias and the effects of spatial auto-correlation. For native species, presence observations from across the African continent were gathered.

Here provisional results are shown, calibrated with presence observations that are available online. In a later phase, additional presence observations will be included in model calibrations and evaluations. These will be provided by experienced botanists that will also verify the distribution maps.

Figure 1 was created by combining information from the www.vegetationmap4africa.org with the results of habitat distribution mapping. Where a section of the projected range of the species overlapped with its expected distribution in vegetation types, the section was given the same colouring as the vegetation map. Sections that did not match documented vegetation types were plotted in the grey33 R colour.




Figure 4. Projected presence distribution of the species across Africa. Administrative boundaries *tmap* version 2.2 (Tennekes 2018).




Figure 1. Projected presence distribution of the species in Ethiopia. Administrative boundaries from www.gadm.org version 3.6.

Figure 2. Administrative boundaries for Ethiopia from www.gadm.org version 3.6.





Figure 3. Distribution of potential natural vegetation types in Ethiopia from www.vegetationmap4africa.org



Species distribution modelling is currently underway for 150+ priority species selected by the PATSPO project, using similar methods of model calibrations as used for <http://www.worldagroforestry.org/atlas-central-america>. However, more sophisticated methods such as spatial folding, spatial and environmental thinning are employed to reduce sampling bias and the effects of spatial auto-correlation. For native species, presence observations from across the African continent were gathered.

Here provisional results are shown, calibrated with presence observations that are available online. In a later phase, additional presence observations will be included in model calibrations and evaluations. These will be provided by experienced botanists that will also verify the distribution maps.

Figure 1 was created by combining information from the www.vegetationmap4africa.org with the results of habitat distribution mapping. Where a section of the projected range of the species overlapped with its expected distribution in vegetation types, the section was given the same colouring as the vegetation map. Sections that did not match documented vegetation types were plotted in the grey33 R colour.




Figure 4. Projected presence distribution of the species across Africa. Administrative boundaries *tmap* version 2.2 (Tennekes 2018).

Habitat distribution of *Tamarindus indica* inferred from ensemble modelling with *BiodiversityR*

Roeland Kindt¹, Lars Graudal^{1,2}, Jens-Peter B Lillesø², Abraham Abiyu¹, Soren Moestrup^{1,2}, Paulo van Breugel³

¹ World Agroforestry Centre, Tree Productivity and Diversity

² University of Copenhagen, Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management (IGN)

³ HAS University of Applied Sciences, 's-Hertogenbosch




Figure 1. Projected presence distribution of the species in Ethiopia. Administrative boundaries from www.gadm.org version 3.6.




Figure 2. Administrative boundaries for Ethiopia from www.gadm.org version 3.6.




Figure 3. Distribution of potential natural vegetation types in Ethiopia from www.vegetationmap4africa.org

Species distribution modelling is currently underway for 150+ priority species selected by the PATSPO project, using similar methods of model calibrations as used for <http://www.worldagroforestry.org/atlas-central-america>. However, more sophisticated methods such as spatial folding, spatial and environmental thinning are employed to reduce sampling bias and the effects of spatial auto-correlation. For native species, presence observations from across the African continent were gathered.

Here provisional results are shown, calibrated with presence observations that are available online. In a later phase, additional presence observations will be included in model calibrations and evaluations. These will be provided by experienced botanists that will also verify the distribution maps.

Figure 1 was created by combining information from the www.vegetationmap4africa.org with the results of habitat distribution mapping. Where a section of the projected range of the species overlapped with its expected distribution in vegetation types, the section was given the same colouring as the vegetation map. Sections that did not match documented vegetation types were plotted in the grey33 R colour.




Figure 4. Projected presence distribution of the species across Africa. Administrative boundaries *tmap* version 2.2 (Tennekes 2018).




Figure 1. Projected presence distribution of the species in Ethiopia. Administrative boundaries from www.gadm.org version 3.6.



Figure 2. Administrative boundaries for Ethiopia from www.gadm.org version 3.6.




Figure 3. Distribution of potential natural vegetation types in Ethiopia from www.vegetationmap4africa.org

Species distribution modelling is currently underway for 150+ priority species selected by the PATSPO project, using similar methods of model calibrations as used for <http://www.worldagroforestry.org/atlas-central-america>. However, more sophisticated methods such as spatial folding, spatial and environmental thinning are employed to reduce sampling bias and the effects of spatial auto-correlation. For native species, presence observations from across the African continent were gathered.

Here provisional results are shown, calibrated with presence observations that are available online. In a later phase, additional presence observations will be included in model calibrations and evaluations. These will be provided by experienced botanists that will also verify the distribution maps.

Figure 1 was created by combining information from the www.vegetationmap4africa.org with the results of habitat distribution mapping. Where a section of the projected range of the species overlapped with its expected distribution in vegetation types, the section was given the same colouring as the vegetation map. Sections that did not match documented vegetation types were plotted in the grey33 R colour.




Figure 4. Projected presence distribution of the species across Africa. Administrative boundaries *tmap* version 2.2 (Tennekes 2018).